Nd isotope constraints on ocean circulation, paleoclimate, and continental drainage during the Jurassic breakup of Pangea
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A B S T R A C T

The breakup of Pangea and onset of growth of the Pacific plate led to several paleoenvironmental feedbacks, which radically affected paleoclimate and ocean chemistry during the Jurassic. Overall, this period was characterized by intense volcanic degassing from large igneous provinces and circum-Panthalassan arcs, new oceanic circulation patterns, and changes in heat and humidity transports affecting continental weathering. Few studies, however, have attempted to unravel the global interactions linking these processes over the long-term. In this paper, we address this question by documenting the global changes in continental drainage and surface oceanic circulation for the whole Jurassic period. For this purpose, we present 53 new neodymium isotope values (εNd(t)) measured on well-dated fossil fish teeth, ichthyosaur bones, phosphatized nodules, phosphatized ooids, and clastic sediments from Europe, western Russia, and North America. Combined with an extensive compilation of published εNd(t) data, our results show that the continental sources of Nd were very heterogeneous across the world. Volcanic inputs from a Jurassic equivalent of the modern Pacific Ring of Fire contributed to radiogenic εNd(t) values (−4 ε-units) in the Panthalassan Ocean. For the Tethyan Ocean, the average surface seawater signal was less radiogenic in the equatorial region (−6.3), and gradually lower toward the epicontinental peri-Tethyan (−7.4), western Russian (−7.4) and Euro-Boreal seas (−8.6). Different Nd sources contributed to this disparity, with radiogenic Nd influxes from westward Panthalassan currents or juvenile volcanic arcs in open oceanic domains, and substantial unradiogenic inputs from old Laurasian and Gondwanan shields for the NW Tethyan platforms. Overall, the εNd(t) values of Euro-Boreal, peri-Tethyan, and western Russian waters varied quite similarly through time, in response to regional changes in oceanic circulation, paleoclimate, continental drainage, and volcanism. Three positive shifts in εNd(t) values occurred successively in these epicontinental seas during the Pliensbachian, in the Aalenian–Bathonian interval, and in the mid-Oxfordian. The first and third events are interpreted as regional incursions of warm surface radiogenic currents from low latitudes. The Aalenian–Bathonian shift seems linked to volcanic outbursts in the NW Tethys and/or circulation of deep currents resulting from extensional events in the Hispanic Corridor and reduced influences of boreal currents crossing the Viking Corridor. In contrast, the εNd(t) signals decreased and remained very low (−8) during the global warming events of the Toarcian and Late Oxfordian–Early Tithonian intervals. In these greenhouse contexts, a latitudinal expansion of humid belts could have extended the drainage pathways toward boreal Nd sources of Precambrian age and increased the supply of very unradiogenic crustal-derived inputs to seawater. Finally, a brief negative εNd(t) excursion recorded in parallel with regional drops in seawater temperature suggests that southward circulation of cold unradiogenic Arctic waters occurred in the NW Tethys in the Callovian–Early Oxfordian. All these results show that changes in surface oceanic circulation...
1. Introduction

The Jurassic marked the onset of the Pangean breakup that led to our modern geography. Starting with crustal thinning and prominent flood basalt events in the Central Atlantic Magmatic Province and the Karoo–Ferrar area (Coffin and Eldholm, 1992), this continental reorganization was governed foremost by an extensional regime, resulting in new marine connections between oceanic domains. In the Early Jurassic, this was reflected by the progressive openings of the Hispanic and Viking corridors (i.e., trans-Pangean and trans-Laurasian seaways linking the Tethyan domain to the Eastern Panthalassan and Arctic areas, respectively), which ultimately led to the formation of the Caribbean and North Atlantic oceanic crusts (Fig. 1) (Doré, 1991; Laballs et al., 2010). Similarly, the latest Jurassic marked the development of a trans-Gondwanan strait, which evolved subsequently into the Indian Ocean (Alborni and Larson, 2001). The best illustrations are the successive accretions of Qensellinia, Stikinia, and Wrangellia terranes to the North American Cordillera (Ricketts, 2008), the intra-oceanic subduction of the Pontus Ocean (van der Meer et al., 2012), and the progressive closure of the Mongol–Okhotsk Ocean sutured by the Siberian and Asian cratons (Cogné et al., 2005) (Fig. 1).

These geodynamic events had major influences on the paleoclimatic and geochemical changes recorded during the Jurassic (Jenkyns et al., 2002; Dera et al., 2011). For example, new circum-global currents passing through the Hispanic Corridor and changes in Tethyan circulation patterns are suspected to have affected heat and moisture transport during the Early Plisensbachian and Middle Oxfordian, leading to changes in atmospheric temperature, continental runoff, and marine sedimentation in the Euro-Boreal domains (Louis-Schmid et al., 2007; Rais et al., 2007; Dera et al., 2009b). Over the long term, the paleoclimatic feedback is also well documented by sedimentological and modelling evidence showing important shifts from warm arid conditions during the Triassic to more humid climates in the Cretaceous (Frakes et al., 2012), and the progressive closure of the Okhotsk Ocean suturing the Siberian and Asian cratons (Cogné et al., 2005) (Fig. 1).
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2.1.1. Euro-Boreal domain

Twenty-five samples were obtained from Swabian and North-West German basins (Germany), Wessex Basin (England), Sud-Est and Paris basins (France) and Bornholm Island (Denmark) (Fig. 2A). The paleogeography of this area, located between 20 and 35°N, was characterized by restricted marine basins of 100 to 200 m depth (Thierry and Barrier, 2000), subjected intermittently to southern influxes from the Tethyan Ocean or to Arctic influences by currents crossing the narrow Viking Corridor (Bjerrum et al., 2001; Dera and Donnadieu, 2012) (Fig. 2C). The Precambrian Laurasian cratons and the low reliefs inherited from

---

**Fig. 1.** Paleogeographic evolution during the Jurassic (simplified from R. Blakey’s maps: http://cpgeosystems.com). This includes modifications based on data from van der Meer et al. (2012), Hall (2012), and Seton et al. (2012) for mid-oceanic ridges (black lines) and island arcs (red lines with triangles), Briggs et al. (2004) and Burgess (2008) for the location of North American and southern Gondwanan terranes, as well as Vaughan and Storey (2007) for large igneous provinces (yellow) and crustal recycling areas (green dashes). Emergent landmasses are in black, epicontinental domains in light blue, and deep ocean in dark blue. Abbreviations are: An: Antarctica, Ar: Arabian Platform, As: Ashio Belt, Ba: Bangong-Nujiang, Ca: Caples Terrane, CA: Chon Aike, Ch: southern Chile, E: Euro-Boreal, G: Guerrero Terrane, Gh: Ghana, Ju: Junggar, KS: Klamath-Sierra, Lh: Lhasa block, Mi: Mino, Mu: Murihiku, NC: New Caledonia, NM: Northern Mongolia, Pa: Palau, pT: peri-Tethyan, Q: Quesnellia, Qi: Qiangtang block, Ru: Russian Platform, Ry: Ryoke Belt, Sb: Sub-Boreal, St: Stikinia, Sv: Sverdrup Basin, Ta: Tamba, Tw: Taiwan, V: Viking Corridor, Wa: Waipapa, Wr: Wrangellia, Yf: Yanshan Fold.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Material</th>
<th>Basin Location</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Biozone(subzone)</th>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
<th>[Nd]ppm</th>
<th>[Sm]ppm</th>
<th>143Nd/144Nd</th>
<th>εNd(t)</th>
<th>147Sm/144Nd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A57 Fish scales</td>
<td>SB Holzmaden, Germany</td>
<td>E. Toarcian</td>
<td>teniscostatum-falciferum</td>
<td>181.650</td>
<td>1860</td>
<td>523.2</td>
<td>0.512144 ± 4</td>
<td>-10.7513</td>
<td>-9.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A57b Fish scales</td>
<td>SB Holzmaden, Germany</td>
<td>E. Toarcian</td>
<td>teniscostatum-falciferum</td>
<td>181.650</td>
<td>16.36</td>
<td>3.526</td>
<td>0.512164 ± 4</td>
<td>0.130021</td>
<td>-10.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A53 Fish scales</td>
<td>SB Holzmaden, Germany</td>
<td>E. Toarcian</td>
<td>falciferum</td>
<td>181.025</td>
<td>2410.2</td>
<td>679.5</td>
<td>0.512164 ± 7</td>
<td>-8.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A54 Fish scales</td>
<td>SB Buchsteig, Germany</td>
<td>L. Callovian</td>
<td>lambierti</td>
<td>163.625</td>
<td>383.4</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>0.512185 ± 5</td>
<td>0.132820</td>
<td>-7.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BO Fish scales</td>
<td>BO Bornholm, Denmark</td>
<td>L. Pliensbachian</td>
<td>margaritatus</td>
<td>163.625</td>
<td>240.16</td>
<td>0.512136</td>
<td>0.153228</td>
<td>-8.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN3 Fish scales</td>
<td>NWGB Schandelah, Germany</td>
<td>E. Toarcian</td>
<td>elegantulum</td>
<td>181.025</td>
<td>2410.2</td>
<td>679.5</td>
<td>0.512164 ± 7</td>
<td>-8.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN3b Fish scales</td>
<td>NWGB Schandelah, Germany</td>
<td>E. Toarcian</td>
<td>elegantulum</td>
<td>181.025</td>
<td>738.4</td>
<td>192.5</td>
<td>0.512185 ± 5</td>
<td>0.132820</td>
<td>-7.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN4 Fish scales</td>
<td>NWGB Goslar, Germany</td>
<td>E. Toarcian</td>
<td>toarcianus</td>
<td>154.250</td>
<td>617.7</td>
<td>135.1</td>
<td>0.512163 ± 5</td>
<td>0.132230</td>
<td>-7.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN1 Fish scales</td>
<td>WB Rookery, Pit, England</td>
<td>L. Callovian</td>
<td>lenti</td>
<td>163.625</td>
<td>383.4</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>0.512153 ± 5</td>
<td>-8.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN2 Fish scales</td>
<td>WB Rookery, Pit, England</td>
<td>L. Callovian</td>
<td>lenti</td>
<td>163.625</td>
<td>383.4</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>0.512153 ± 5</td>
<td>-8.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP6 Fish scales</td>
<td>PB Chaumon, France</td>
<td>E. Toarcian</td>
<td>teniscostatum</td>
<td>182.175</td>
<td>1511.4</td>
<td>254.5</td>
<td>0.512040 ± 4</td>
<td>-9.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP Fish scales</td>
<td>PB Boulogne, France</td>
<td>M. Oxfordian</td>
<td>elatmae</td>
<td>164.750</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>104.9</td>
<td>0.512012 ± 6</td>
<td>-5.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA Fish scales</td>
<td>PB Mihajlovskij Rudnik, Russia</td>
<td>E. Toarcian</td>
<td>teniscostatum</td>
<td>181.650</td>
<td>1860</td>
<td>523.2</td>
<td>0.512144 ± 4</td>
<td>-10.7513</td>
<td>-9.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD Fish scales</td>
<td>RP Mihajlovskij Rudnik, Russia</td>
<td>E. Toarcian</td>
<td>calloviense</td>
<td>165.400</td>
<td>12.984</td>
<td>1.393</td>
<td>0.512271 ± 4</td>
<td>0.090343</td>
<td>-4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M51 Fish scales</td>
<td>RP Mihajlovskij Rudnik, Russia</td>
<td>E. Toarcian</td>
<td>calloviense</td>
<td>165.400</td>
<td>12.984</td>
<td>1.393</td>
<td>0.512271 ± 4</td>
<td>0.090343</td>
<td>-4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M52 Fish scales</td>
<td>RP Mihajlovskij Rudnik, Russia</td>
<td>E. Toarcian</td>
<td>calloviense</td>
<td>165.400</td>
<td>12.984</td>
<td>1.393</td>
<td>0.512271 ± 4</td>
<td>0.090343</td>
<td>-4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB Fish scales</td>
<td>RP Mihajlovskij Rudnik, Russia</td>
<td>E. Toarcian</td>
<td>calloviense</td>
<td>165.400</td>
<td>12.984</td>
<td>1.393</td>
<td>0.512271 ± 4</td>
<td>0.090343</td>
<td>-4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC Fish scales</td>
<td>RP Mihajlovskij Rudnik, Russia</td>
<td>E. Toarcian</td>
<td>calloviense</td>
<td>165.400</td>
<td>12.984</td>
<td>1.393</td>
<td>0.512271 ± 4</td>
<td>0.090343</td>
<td>-4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M54 Fish scales</td>
<td>RP Mihajlovskij Rudnik, Russia</td>
<td>E. Toarcian</td>
<td>calloviense</td>
<td>165.400</td>
<td>12.984</td>
<td>1.393</td>
<td>0.512271 ± 4</td>
<td>0.090343</td>
<td>-4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M55 Fish scales</td>
<td>RP Mihajlovskij Rudnik, Russia</td>
<td>E. Toarcian</td>
<td>calloviense</td>
<td>165.400</td>
<td>12.984</td>
<td>1.393</td>
<td>0.512271 ± 4</td>
<td>0.090343</td>
<td>-4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M56 Fish scales</td>
<td>RP Mihajlovskij Rudnik, Russia</td>
<td>E. Toarcian</td>
<td>calloviense</td>
<td>165.400</td>
<td>12.984</td>
<td>1.393</td>
<td>0.512271 ± 4</td>
<td>0.090343</td>
<td>-4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M57 Fish scales</td>
<td>RP Mihajlovskij Rudnik, Russia</td>
<td>E. Toarcian</td>
<td>calloviense</td>
<td>165.400</td>
<td>12.984</td>
<td>1.393</td>
<td>0.512271 ± 4</td>
<td>0.090343</td>
<td>-4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M58 Fish scales</td>
<td>RP Mihajlovskij Rudnik, Russia</td>
<td>E. Toarcian</td>
<td>calloviense</td>
<td>165.400</td>
<td>12.984</td>
<td>1.393</td>
<td>0.512271 ± 4</td>
<td>0.090343</td>
<td>-4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M59 Fish scales</td>
<td>RP Mihajlovskij Rudnik, Russia</td>
<td>E. Toarcian</td>
<td>calloviense</td>
<td>165.400</td>
<td>12.984</td>
<td>1.393</td>
<td>0.512271 ± 4</td>
<td>0.090343</td>
<td>-4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M60 Fish scales</td>
<td>RP Mihajlovskij Rudnik, Russia</td>
<td>E. Toarcian</td>
<td>calloviense</td>
<td>165.400</td>
<td>12.984</td>
<td>1.393</td>
<td>0.512271 ± 4</td>
<td>0.090343</td>
<td>-4.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table: Nd/Sm and 147Sm/144Nd Ratios for Various Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Material</th>
<th>Basin Location</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Biozone (subzone)</th>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
<th>[Nd]ppm</th>
<th>[Sm]ppm</th>
<th>143Nd/144Nd</th>
<th>εNd(t)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fish scales</td>
<td>Alaska, USA</td>
<td>L. Pliensbachian</td>
<td>Carlottense</td>
<td>183.400</td>
<td>10.107</td>
<td>2.307</td>
<td>0.513012</td>
<td>8.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siltstone</td>
<td>Alaska, USA</td>
<td>L. Pliensbachian</td>
<td>Carlottense</td>
<td>183.400</td>
<td>12.065</td>
<td>3.3915</td>
<td>0.512911</td>
<td>5.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1* Phosphatized nodule</td>
<td>ACM Clan Alpine mountains, Nevada, USA</td>
<td>E. Pliensbachian</td>
<td>Freboldi</td>
<td>188.000</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.512367</td>
<td>-3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1s Sediments</td>
<td>ACM Clan Alpine mountains, Nevada, USA</td>
<td>E. Pliensbachian</td>
<td>Freboldi</td>
<td>188.000</td>
<td>214.7</td>
<td>48.04</td>
<td>0.512339</td>
<td>-4.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

εNd(t) = \[ \frac{(143Nd/144Nd)_{\text{Sample}(t)}}{(143Nd/144Nd)_{\text{CHUR}(t)}} - 1 \]

where \( (143Nd/144Nd)_{\text{Sample}(t)} \) is calculated by using the radioactive decay of Sm as follows:

\[ (143Nd/144Nd)_{\text{Sample}(t)} = (143Nd/144Nd)_{\text{today}} - \frac{\text{Sm} \times t}{Nd \times 0.6049} \]

Note that the elemental concentrations of samples marked with an asterisk are not available (analyzed at the Ifremer laboratory, Plouzané, France), and we assume an average 147Sm/144Nd value of 0.115 ± 0.02 (based on our εNd(t) calculations.)

2.1.2. Russian Platform

Twenty-two samples were collected from sections located in the Kostroma, Kursk, Moscow, Saratov, and U'yanovsk regions. During the Middle and Late Jurassic, these areas formed a large transcontinental seaway of 100 to 200 m depth ranging from 40 to 70°N, which connected the Arctic domain to the Tethyan Ocean through the Mezen–Pechora Strait to the north and the Caspian Strait to the south (Baraboshkin, 2004) (Fig. 2C). This domain was delimited by Precambrian landmasses both westward and southward (i.e., Fenno-Scandian and Ukrainian shields), Uralian highlands to the east, and probably influenced by southerly Cimmerian volcanic inputs related to the Cimmerian arc bordering the Tethyan subduction area (Kazmin et al., 1986). Regional tectonic events and sea-level changes played a considerable role in the opening and the restrictions of the Russian seaway, as well as the direction of marine currents. For example, the prevalence of shallow lagoonal facies in the Caspian region and the disappearance of ammonites with sub-Mediterranean affinities indicate that the Russian Platform was progressively disconnected from the Tethyan Ocean but further connected to the Arctic domain during the latest Jurassic (Middle Volgian) (Rogov et al., 2008; Rogov, 2012).

2.1.3. Panthalassan domain

North American samples come from outcrops located in Nevada, Haida Gwaii (British Columbia), and Alaska, which represented contrasting paleoenvironments of the eastern Panthalassa (Fig. 2B). At this time, numerous magmatic terranes (e.g., Wrangellia, Stikinia, Quesnellia, Klamath–Sierra, Guerrero terranes) drifted eastward and accreted to the American Craton during the Triassic to the Cretaceous (Monger et al., 1982) (Fig. 2D). These successive subduction and collision events formed a proto-Cordillera separating intracratonic basins to the east (i.e., the Western Interior Seaway) from the open oceanic domain to the west (Burgess, 2008). Sedimentological data indicate that the incipient reliefs were high enough to prevent massive inputs from the hinterland (i.e., mainly desert deposits) reaching the miogeoclone from the south (Dickinson and Gehrels, 2010). On the western side, the basins were regularly influenced by frequent volcanic arc input (Petersen et al., 2004). According to recent reconstructions, samples from Nevada relate to shelfal (ca. 100 m depth) paleoenvironments of the western American margin, whereas those from Haida Gwaii and Alaska reflect open marine domains corresponding to the Wrangellia and Peninsular terranes.

2.2. Analytical approach

Thirty-seven fish teeth were selected from well-dated sedimentary levels in the basins mentioned above. Even though diagenetic alteration may occasionally occur in them, due to differences in porosity and microstructure (Kocis et al., 2010), fish scales, fish bones, ichthyosaur bones, phosphatized ooids and nodules were selected when fish teeth were not available. In this case, we also sampled seven sedimentary matrices (i.e., marl, siltstone or clay) surrounding selected fossils and clasts for comparing the εNd(t) signals of seawater and detrital inputs, and to check for any potential diagenetic contamination.

Samples were analyzed over a three-year period at two laboratories in France (GET at the University of Toulouse and Ifremer in Plouzané). In both places, samples were cleaned in an ultrasonic bath and finely crushed with an agate mortar. Based on material availability, between 6 and 200 mg of phosphate powder and ~100 mg of ground bulk sediments were used for Nd isotopic measurements. In Toulouse, all teeth were dissolved with 4 mL of twice sub-boiled HCl 10 N (120 °C on hotplate for 2 days). Any undissolved material was further
treated with a mixture of 1 mL HNO₃ 15 N and 0.5 mL concentrated H₂O₂ heated on hotplate at 70 °C. Bulk sediments were digested using a MARS 5 microwave reaction system (CEM) with a mixture of 3 mL HF, 9 mL HNO₃ 15 N, and 2 mL HCl 10 N. The mixed solutions were heated at 180 °C for 10 min with a ramp time of 15 min according to the protocol developed at the GET laboratory. Blanks and one certified at 180 °C for 10 min with a ramp time of 15 min according to the protocol using Eichrom’s Ln resin (50 Nd was separated from the other elements by ion exchange chromatography using Eichrom’s Ln resin (50–100 mesh).

The ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd ratios were analyzed on a TIMS Finnegan Mat 251 at the GET laboratory and corrected for mass discrimination by using a ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd of 0.7219 with an exponential law. During the period of measurement, repeated analyses of the La Jolla standard gave 0.511845 ± 0.000005 (2σ, n = 5). Nd levels in procedural blanks were less than 250 pg, which is negligible relative to the Nd signals measured in this study. All corrected ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd values are reported in Table 1. The Nd isotopic ratios are expressed with the epsilon notation εNd(t), and include corrections related to the radioactive decay of ¹⁴⁷Sm to ¹⁴⁴Nd through time (based on measured Nd and Sm concentrations and the law ¹⁴⁷Sm/¹⁴⁴Nd = Sm/Nd × 0.6049), the mean numerical ages (t) of ammonite chron (Gradstein et al., 2012), and the ¹⁴⁷Sm radioactive decay constant λ (6.54 × 10⁻¹²). Finally, the ¹⁴⁳Nd/¹⁴⁴NdCHUR ratio was corrected for post-sedimentation decay of ¹⁴⁴Sm using a present-day value of 0.512638 and a ¹⁴⁷Sm/¹⁴⁴Nd ratio of 0.1966 (Jacobsen and Wasserburg, 1980).

Note that eight samples (marked with asterisks in Table 1) were analyzed at Ifremer by following the protocol described in Charbonnier et al. (2012). In this case, the main methodological difference with the procedure described above concerns the method used for digesting sediments, which was performed by alkaline fusion (Bayon et al., 2009). In addition, as Nd and Sm abundances were not measured directly, we calculated the εNd(t) of these eight samples by assuming an average literature ¹⁴⁷Sm/¹⁴⁴Nd value of 0.115 ± 0.02, which gives a maximal error of ± 0.4 units on the εNd(t) values. The Fe–Mn oxyhydroxide phases of

Fig. 2. Sampling locations represented in current and paleogeographic contexts (Thierry and Barrier, 2000 and R. Blakey’s website), and gathered according to broad paleogeographic units. Red and white dots correspond to new and previously published εNd(t) data, respectively. See supplementary data for exact names of areas reported with numbers. Names of terranes and volcanic areas are indicated in black.
one sediment sample (B4-Fe) were also extracted by sequential leaching (Bayon et al., 2002), in order to provide information on the Nd isotope composition of corresponding seawater signature (Négrel et al., 2006; Martin et al., 2010).

2.3. Data compilation

In order to compare our results to worldwide data, we created an exhaustive database of Jurassic \( \varepsilon_{Nd(t)} \) values (available upon request), compiling results from forty-four publications. This includes 86 values measured on materials inferred to record the seawater signal, such as fish teeth and bones, glauconite, belemnites, early diagenetic Fe–Mn coatings, Mn lenses, pure marine carbonates with Ce anomaly (Stille et al., 1996; Négrel et al., 2006; Olivier and Boyet, 2006; Dera et al., 2009b; Malfilatre et al., 2012), as well as 425 values of sediments and metasediments deemed to preserve the initial rock signal (Mahlen et al., 2005). In order to be homogeneous in the data treatment, all published \( \varepsilon_{Nd(t)} \) values were biostratigraphically recalibrated (if possible) and recalculated by assuming updated numerical ages of Gradstein et al. (2012). \(^{146}\text{Sm}/^{144}\text{Nd} = \text{Sm}/\text{Nd} \times 0.6049, \) or average values of 0.115 ± 0.02 if Sm and Nd concentrations were not available. Consequently, it is possible that our recalculated \( \varepsilon_{Nd(t)} \) values differ slightly from their original publications.

3. Results

In order to compare the geochemical variations through time, we plotted our new \( \varepsilon_{Nd(t)} \) values with previously published Jurassic data by separating the Euro-Boreal epicontinental domains, the Russian Platform, and the peri-oceanic areas of Tethys and Panthalassa (Fig. 3). We assume that the new and published values measured on different biogenic or authigenic materials recording seawater signals are comparable because the dispersal observed at the same stratigraphic level within the same locality is generally low (e.g., \( \leq 1 \varepsilon \)-unit from the Callovian to the Tithonian in the Euro-Boreal domain).

3.1. Reliability of \( \varepsilon_{Nd(t)} \) signals

First, it is noteworthy that, for all biogenic or authigenic materials combined, the long-term trends displayed by \( \varepsilon_{Nd(t)} \) values of Euro-Boreal, peri-Tethyan, and Russian basins are very similar through time. This attests that the diagenetic overprints were of minor influence and that the data are sufficiently robust to depict temporal changes in regional seawater composition. Whatever the studied basins of the NW Tethys, the new values measured on phosphatized materials or Fe–Mn coatings are in the range of previously published data and systematically higher by 1.5 to 3 \( \varepsilon \)-units compared with the sedimentary values (e.g., Toarcian and Kimmeridgian of the peri-Tethyan and Russian Platforms, respectively). This offset corresponds to that observed by Charbonnier et al. (2012) and Martin et al. (2012) for Cretaceous samples from the Western Interior Seaway and Demerara Rise. Thus, we suggest that these \( \varepsilon_{Nd(t)} \) values may be interpreted mainly as unaltered seawater signatures.

In contrast, ichthyosaur bones and fish scales from North American terranes yield abnormal positive values (i.e., \( \varepsilon_{Nd(t)} \) from 3.2 to 8.7), much higher than modern ocean values (Lacan et al., 2012). Owing to the porous nature of bones (Kocis et al., 2010), a large diagenetic uptake from volcanic sources is therefore suggested, consistent with the strong volcanic-arc components of these terranes. Such values were consequently ruled out for seawater interpretation. Only the value measured on an Early Pliensbachian phosphate nodule from Nevada (~3.3) seems reliable for Panthalassan seawater signal, as it is in the range of previously published data (Chyi et al., 1984), is comparable to modern Pacific values (Lacan et al., 2012), and is distinct from its sedimentary matrix.

3.2. Seawater \( \varepsilon_{Nd(t)} \) patterns

Overall, our results illustrate a strong heterogeneity of seawater \( \varepsilon_{Nd(t)} \) signatures across the Jurassic oceans (Fig. 3). Even if values are very sparse and call for further investigation in the future, Panthalassan waters are typically more radiogenic than the others (\(-4.4 \text{ to } -3.3 \varepsilon\)-units). In the NW Tethyan domains (including Euro-Boreal, peri-Tethyan, and western Russian areas), values are generally more negative, but locally exhibit short-term rises toward values exceeding \(-7 \varepsilon\)-units, a value chosen here arbitrarily as the lower estimate of radiogenic signals from equatorial Tethyan oceanic currents (i.e., Arabian Platform value). It is also noteworthy that trends recorded in the Euro-Boreal and peri-Tethyan domains are very similar in terms of timing and amplitudes, although \( \varepsilon_{Nd(t)} \) signatures of open marine waters appear slightly more radiogenic (+1 \( \varepsilon \)-unit on average).

Six isotopic features displaying amplitudes of at least 2 \( \varepsilon \)-units are successively recorded in the Euro-Boreal and peri-Tethyan epicontinental seawaters: 1) a steady unradiogenic signal from the Sinemurian to the Pliensbachian interrupted by a positive excursion of \( +2 \varepsilon \)-units at the Early–Late Pliensbachian transition; 2) a decreasing signal followed by steady low values (between \(-10 \text{ and } -8 \) during the Toarcian, except in the North West German Basin where a more radiogenic value (\(-5.8 \) is recorded); 3) a long-term rise of \(+3 \varepsilon \)-units during the Aalenian with relatively radiogenic values up to the Bathonian; 4) a drop of \(-2 \varepsilon \)-units in the Callovian–Early Oxfordian interval with slight fluctuations at the boundary; 5) a sharp rise of \(+3 \varepsilon \) to \(+6 \varepsilon \)-units in the Middle Oxfordian; and 6) a noisy decrease of values during the Late Oxfordian followed by steady unradiogenic values (\(-10 \varepsilon \) to \(-8 \varepsilon \)) from the Kimmeridgian to the Tithonian. In the Russian Platform, the long-term trends are similar but the seawater \( \varepsilon_{Nd(t)} \) appears comparatively much more radiogenic from the Middle Jurassic to the Early Oxfordian (up to \(-4.1 \varepsilon \) during the Bathonian), then follows a long-term decrease during the Late Jurassic. We also note that values fluctuate strongly and rapidly during the Callovian–Early Oxfordian interval (i.e., during the fourth event).

4. Discussion

4.1. Continental sources of Nd during the Jurassic

Before discussing the origin of Jurassic seawater \( \varepsilon_{Nd(t)} \) patterns, it is of prime importance to understand: 1) what were the continental sources of Nd to oceans at that time; and 2) how drainage pathways may have evolved during this period. For this purpose, we compiled all available \( \varepsilon_{Nd(t)} \) values of clastic sediments deposited during the Jurassic at a global scale. Higher and lower Jurassic values are plotted on a paleogeographic map in order to show the spatial heterogeneity of Nd sources in different domains (Fig. 4). When possible, the temporal evolution of sedimentary \( \varepsilon_{Nd(t)} \) Values is also reported for each basin on Fig. 5 to depict potential changes in Nd sources through the Pangean dislocation.

4.1.1. Panthalassan areas

The spatial distribution of sedimentary \( \varepsilon_{Nd(t)} \) values shows a worldwide heterogeneity in neodymium sources (Fig. 4), which seems quite constant during the Jurassic (Fig. 5). The most noticeable feature is the highly radiogenic signature of circum-Pangean sediments (up to \(+10 \)), related to the erosion and weathering of juvenile volcanic arcs or oceanic island basaltics, whose mean modern \( \varepsilon_{Nd} \) signals lie between \(-1 \varepsilon \)-units and \(-6 \varepsilon \)-units, respectively (Shaw and Wasserburg, 1984). This pattern attests to the effectiveness of a Jurassic Ring of Fire as the main supplier of radiogenic Nd isotopes to Panthalassa, as observed for the modern Pacific Ocean (Jeanel et al., 2007). It is suggested that the incipient growth of the Pacific plate drove increases in subduction rates attended by volcanic pulses and arc collisions, which were especially important during the Kimmeridgian (Bartolini and Larson, 2001;
Kalnins and Watts, 2009). This circum-Panthalassan activity is well illustrated along the western coasts of USA, Canada, and Mexico (DeBari et al., 1999; Clift et al., 2005; Barboza-Guðiño et al., 2008). Andes and Patagonia (Féraud et al., 1999; Boekhout et al., 2012), New Zealand (Briggs et al., 2004), Northeast and Far East Russia (Sey et al., 1999), and Japan (Isozaki et al., 2010), where thick ash or volcaniclastic sequences, as well as magmatic effusions are recorded on terranes and continental margins.

In North America, the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(t)$ signatures of sediments were highly radiogenic but marked by obvious heterogeneities from insular volcanic terranes to epicontinental basins (Patchett and Gehrels, 1998; Petersen et al., 2004). According to Patchett et al. (1999) and Dickinson and Gehrels (2010), the continental inputs consisted mainly of unradiogenic sands and muds derived from mid-Paleozoic strata and transported by a river network coming from the Appalachian highlands and crossing the North American craton. Some of the sediments reached the oceanic margin by northern routes, whereas the southern margin was blocked by a proto-cordillera and sediments were consequently deposited in intracratonic basins. This scenario explains why eastern sediments from Alberta and the Western Interior Seaway show low $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(t)$ values of $-10$ to $-6$ $\varepsilon$-units (Patchett and Gehrels, 1998; Tütken et al., 2011) compared with those deposited on the western Nevada margin ($-4$ $\varepsilon$-units).

Comparatively, the isotopic signature of sediments deposited on southern Gondwanan terranes and margins (i.e., Chile, Antarctica, New Zealand, New Caledonia) appears slightly less radiogenic ($-6$ to $-6$ $\varepsilon$-units) (Frost and Coombs, 1989; Adams et al., 2005). This reflects a more efficient mixing between juvenile volcanic sources and mature continental inputs. But more surprising is the very unradiogenic signature ($-20$ to $0$ $\varepsilon$-units) of sediments from eastern Asian margins surrounding the Amurian reliefs, although arc volcanism also prevailed here (Lan et al., 2002; Kagami et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2011; Fu et al., 2012; Xie et al., 2012). Only deposits of the northern Mongol Okhotsk margin display radiogenic signals compatible with juvenile inputs (Sjostrom et al., 2001; Tütken et al., 2011). As demonstrated by Wang et al. (2013), the Amurian block suffered considerable deformation related to the subductions of the Tethys, Pontus, and Mongol–Okhotsk oceans (Fig. 1). Comparatively with detrital zircon U–Pb dating of Jurassic sediments from this area (Yang et al., 2010), the exhumation and erosion of former (i.e., mainly Paleoproterozoic, Ordovician and Carboniferous) crustal and sedimentary rocks likely promoted huge unradiogenic Nd inputs on Asian epicontinental margins, massively diluting any proximal volcanic source.

4.1.2. Arctic and Tethyan areas

Compared with Panthalassan domains, the sedimentary signature of NW Tethyan, West Russian, and Arctic epicontinental basins is much less radiogenic. Moreover a noticeable isotopic gradient prevails from high to low latitudes, with very low $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(t)$ values in the Sverdrup and North Sea basins ($-22$ to $-8$ $\varepsilon$-units) (Mearns, 1989; Ehrenberg et al., 1998; Patchett et al., 2004), and higher values in the vicinity of Tethyan open marine domains (up to $-4$ $\varepsilon$-units) (Mahlen et al., 2005).

In a context of pronounced basinal restrictions, the very low $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(t)$ values measured in the Arctic–Boreal sediments may be explained firstly by the influence of unradiogenic sands, silts, and clays derived from Archean to Paleozoic crustal rocks from Canadian, Greenland,
or Fennoscandian shields. Modern sediments from these regions (e.g., Labrador Sea) still yield typically low Nd isotope ratios (Jeandel et al., 2007). In the light of mineralogical and geochemical evidence, it is nevertheless noticeable that the sedimentary sources evolved during the Pangean dislocation (Mearns et al., 1989). This is well documented during the marine extension of the Viking Corridor at the Sinemurian–Pliensbachian transition (Fig. 5A), when very unradiogenic Archean inputs from western reliefs were progressively replaced by more radiogenic inputs derived from Proterozoic rocks or recycled Paleozoic strata from eastern and southern highs (Morton et al., 2009). More sporadically, positive $\varepsilon_{Nd(t)}$ peaks recorded in Sinemurian and Bajocian strata of the North Sea basins would suggest brief regional volcanic pulses in Greenland and in the Forties regions (Mearns, 1989).

In the Euro–Boreal, Sub–Boreal, and West Russian domains (Fig. 5A), the direct influence of boreal Precambrian cratons was buffered by younger Nd sources related to Caledonian, Hercynian, and Uralian crustal masses, as well as recycled Paleozoic strata contributing to enhance the $\varepsilon_{Nd(t)}$ of sediments between $-14$ and $-9$ $\varepsilon$-units (Davies et al., 1985; Michard et al., 1985; Liew and Hofmann, 1988). Although the number of data points is still insufficient for a definitive interpretation, the sedimentary $\varepsilon_{Nd(t)}$ values of Sub–Boreal (i.e., Yorkshire, northern Germany) and Euro–Boreal basins (i.e., Paris, Wessex, and Swabian basins) became progressively distinct during the Middle and Late Jurassic, suggesting that the drainage pathways likely evolved along paleogeographic modifications.

In the peri–oceanic and oceanic sub–basins of the NW Tethys, higher sediment $\varepsilon_{Nd(t)}$ values suggest a mixing between recycled crustal rocks and juvenile volcanic materials (Mahlen et al., 2005), tentatively related to the extensions of the Piemont–Ligurian, Maliaic, Vadar, and Pindos oceans, which were especially dynamic during the Middle and Late Jurassic (Channell and Kozur, 1997) (Fig. 2C). Eastward, Cimmerian volcanic arcs prevailed along the northern Tethyan subduction zone (i.e., Pontides, Caucasus, Crimea, Zagros) (Kazmin et al., 1986; Meijers et al., 2010), which could also have major influences on the geochemical composition of erosional inputs. To our knowledge, no sedimentary values from these regions are currently available but $\varepsilon_{Nd(t)}$ analyses performed on coeval magmatic rocks reveal characteristic radiogenic values (i.e., 0 to 4 $\varepsilon$-units in the Pontides) (Sen, 2007; Dokuz et al., 2010). While awaiting future confirmation, this would suggest that sediments from the northern Tethyan active margin were partly radiogenic and mixed with old recycled inputs.

Finally, no Jurassic data are currently available for the southern margin of Tethys but we presume that sediments had low Nd signatures related to crustal inputs from Precambrian basements of African and Indian cratons. In agreement, recent U–Pb dating and $\varepsilon_{Nd(t)}$ analyses of poorly-dated Mesozoic sands from Libya and Ghana, respectively, reveal that recycled Precambrian rocks were the foremost source of sediments deposited in intracratonic basins and along passive margins of Gondwana (Asiedu et al., 2005; Meinhold et al., 2011).

4.2. The $\varepsilon_{Nd(t)}$ signatures of Tethyan and Panthalassan oceans

The global distribution of sedimentary $\varepsilon_{Nd(t)}$ values demonstrates that the continental sources of neodymium were very heterogeneous in the Jurassic world and driven by complex interactions between volcanic regimes, reliefs, and river drainage pathways. Through direct fluviatile Nd supplies from continents, as well as boundary exchanges with sediments on epicontinental margins (Jeandel et al., 2007), this worldwide heterogeneity contributed to differentiate the isotopic signatures of surface seawaters of different regions (Fig. 6).

Pooled with previously published Jurassic data measured on manganese lenses from the Franciscan Complex (Chyi et al., 1984), the new results from the Nevada margin show that the $\varepsilon_{Nd(t)}$ signature of neritic American Panthalassan waters was quite radiogenic (i.e., around $-4$ $\varepsilon$-units), and very similar to those of Cretaceous, Cenozoic, and modern Pacific waters (Thomas et al., 2003; Lacan et al., 2012; Martin et al., 2012; Moiroud et al., 2013). Even if the values are very sparse and necessitate further investigation, it is worth noting that the $\varepsilon_{Nd(t)}$ values from these two areas are very concordant, in spite of their distinct paleoenvironments and water depths (i.e., deep accretionary complex vs. epicontinental shelves). Through a dominant influence of volcanic influxes from the active Ring of Fire, this suggests that the isotopic budget of Nd inputs did not change significantly in Panthalassa over 200 Myr (Stille et al., 1996), and could have been quite homogeneous through the water column bordering the western American craton. As in modern oceans, it may also be supposed that surface currents actively diffused Nd isotopes from shelfal and insular paleoenvironments toward open marine domains. Indeed, recent numerical models based on Jurassic paleogeography suggest that strong westward Panthalassan currents prevailed toward the equator and that important gyres transported warm subtropical waters toward the poles by following clockwise and anticlockwise movements in the two hemispheres (Dera and Donnadieu, 2012) (Fig. 7). Unfortunately, no Nd isotope data from open oceanic domains currently confirm the homogeneity of seawater $\varepsilon_{Nd(t)}$ values for the whole of Panthalassa.

In Tethys, the seawater $\varepsilon_{Nd(t)}$ signal from epicontinental margins was less radiogenic (i.e., mean value around $-8$ $\varepsilon$-units) and marked by a

![Fig. 4. Synthesis of the spatial distribution of $\varepsilon_{Nd(t)}$ Values measured in clastic sediments deposited during the Jurassic. The double colors refer to the maximal and minimal values recorded through time. The paleogeographic map corresponds to a Callovian context (145 Ma). Emergent landmasses and marine shelves are in black and grey, respectively.](image-url)
strong disparity of values varying between of −12 and −4 ε-units (Fig. 6). Comparatively, this range corresponds to those observed in modern currents drifting close to contrasted emergent sources

seawater $\varepsilon_{Nd(t)}$ value measured by Grandjean et al. (1987) in fish teeth from the Arabian sea supports the hypothesis of Stille et al. (1996) invoking the direct influence of radiogenic water masses from the equatorial Panthalassan Ocean. These surface water masses clearly dominated any local input from recycled Precambrian crust. This scenario is consistent with numerical simulations showing rapid subsurface currents flowing westward in equatorial Tethys, splitting around the Arabian Platform, and subsequently drifting along the Gondwanan shelves (Dera and Donnadieu, 2012). Through a progressive influence of old continental landmass inputs northward, these water masses gradually lost their radiogenic composition, as observed for modern currents drifting close to contrasted emergent sources...
This is very obvious in NW Tethys, where seawater $\varepsilon_{Nd(t)}$ signals decreased an average of $-1.2$ $\varepsilon$-units between the peri-Tethyan domains bordering the deep oceanic basin and the restricted Euro-Boreal seas.

However, it cannot be excluded that independent continental radiogenic sources regionally ensured high seawater $\varepsilon_{Nd(t)}$ signals on the NW Tethyan shelves. For example, it is likely that radiogenic Nd inputs from proximal volcanic sources located in the Cimmerian arcs sporadically contributed to the comparatively higher $\varepsilon_{Nd(t)}$ values displayed by Russian seawaters during the Middle Jurassic (Kazmin et al., 1986) (Fig. 6). Even if supporting data are few, it is also possible that recurrent volcanic events contributed to increases in seawater $\varepsilon_{Nd(t)}$ in the hemipelagic domains of NW Tethys (i.e., Vardar, Pindos, Meliac oceans). In southernmost domains, volcanic arcs linked to intra-oceanic subduction of the Mesotethyan plate have also been reported (Hall, 2012). Nevertheless their influence on the whole Tethyan signature was certainly minor because eastward surface currents drifting along southern Gondwana margins exported radiogenic water masses in the southern Panthalassan Ocean (Dera and Donnadieu, 2012) (Fig. 7).

In a pioneering study of the general patterns of Nd isotope composition of past oceans, Stille et al. (1996) demonstrated that the $\varepsilon_{Nd(t)}$ signature of Tethyan seawaters was not differentiated from the Panthalassan one prior to the Late Jurassic, when stronger continental weathering rates progressively decreased the values. In the light of our new isotopic data, this scenario may be partly reappraised as temporal trends recorded in the NW Tethyan basins appear much more complex (Fig. 8). Indeed, we note that in the Early Jurassic, the two oceanic signals were already distinct, even if Panthalassan water masses regionally influenced the Tethyan seawater geochemistry in equatorial areas. Moreover, numerous short-term isotopic variations (represented here by numbers 1 to 6) are recorded in NW Tethyan waters and likely call for independent interpretations.

4.3. Origin of seawater $\varepsilon_{Nd(t)}$ variations in NW Tethys

4.3.1. The Pliensbachian positive excursion

During the Sinemurian–Pliensbachian interval, the seawater $\varepsilon_{Nd(t)}$ signal recorded by fish teeth from the Paris Basin was around $-8.5 \varepsilon$-units (Dera et al., 2009b), which is the median value of NW Tethyan seawaters for the Jurassic (Fig. 8). New $\varepsilon_{Nd(t)}$ values of fish teeth from the Bornholm Island (Denmark) presented herein confirm the unradiogenic signal and the low disparity between distant European basins in the midst of the Margaritatus Chron. Nevertheless, a major geochemical disturbance occurred at the transition between the Davoei and Margaritatus chron, with a noticeable positive excursion. In the light of mollusc $\delta^{18}O$ data showing a coeval rise in seawater temperatures in the NW Tethys (Rosaless et al., 2004; van de Schoorbrugge et al., 2005), Dera et al. (2009b) interpreted this event as the incursion of warm radiogenic water masses from equatorial Tethys or eastern Panthalassa. Recently, numerical simulations have discredited the Panthalassan hypothesis by highlighting an important westward oceanic circulation in the Hispanic Corridor (Dera and Donnadieu, 2012).

However, they confirm the Tethyan scenario by showing more important incursions of southern currents in the Euro-Boreal seas under high pCO$_2$ levels and warm conditions. The opening of the Hispanic Corridor also likely favored their acceleration and diffusion toward mid-latitudes. The rise of temperatures recorded by $\delta^{18}O$ values in NW Tethys could therefore include both a global warming event related to rapid carbon cycle disturbances (Silva et al., 2011), and regional oceanic influences.

4.3.2. The Toarcian negative shift

The second major disturbance happened during the Toarcian, a time considered as the warm climatic optimum of the Jurassic (Dera et al., 2011). At this time, seawater $\varepsilon_{Nd(t)}$ values measured on various biogenic materials decreased by $1.5 \varepsilon$-units in the Euro-Boreal and peri-Tethyan domains (i.e., Paris and Sud-Est basins) and stayed relatively low through the whole interval. Conforming with recent paleoclimatic models (Dera and Donnadieu, 2012), it is possible that this NW Tethyan pattern relates to both a shift of hydrolyzing humid belts toward higher latitudes, and more arid conditions in the peri-Tethyan domains. Combined effects of extended drainage pathways toward boreal sources (i.e., mainly very unradiogenic Precambrian cratons of Fenno-Scandia and Greenland) and lower contributions of more radiogenic sources (i.e., derived from Paleozoic orogens and Cimmerian arcs) contributed significantly to decrease the NW Tethyan seawater signal. This climatic hypothesis agrees with higher runoff rates and strong fluvial discharges suggested by prominent negative shifts in Euro-Boreal $\delta^{18}O$ values (McArthur et al., 2000; Bailey et al., 2003), positive shifts in $\frac{\delta^{18}O}{\delta^{18}C}$ and $\frac{\delta^{18}O}{\delta^{18}C}$ ratios (Jones et al., 1994; Cohen et al., 2004), increasing contents of kaolinite in marine sediments (Dera et al., 2009a), and massive phosphorus, metal, and clastic inputs (Bodin et al., 2011; Lézin et al., 2013) (Fig. 8). In addition, southward marine currents diffusing Arctic waters (with supposedly lower $\varepsilon_{Nd(t)}$ and $\delta^{18}O$ values) through the Viking Corridor likely contributed to this pattern during the high sea-level of the Toarcian (Bjerrum et al., 2001; Dera and Donnadieu, 2012).

As an aside, it may be noted that a fish tooth recovered from the lower Toarcian of the North West German Basin presents a radiogenic seawater value of $-6 \varepsilon$-units, which is quite counter-intuitive to the idea of massive unradiogenic crustal-derived inputs from Laurasia (Fig. 8). As the corresponding clastic fraction displays a similar isotopic increase (Fig. 5A), this could correspond to very brief and local radiogenic Nd influxes from the Skåne flood basalts erupted at this time (Bergelin, 2009) (Fig. 2).

4.3.3. The Aalenian–Bathonian positive shift

In the Middle Jurassic, the seawater Nd isotope signal rose progressively by $3 \varepsilon$-units in both the Euro-Boreal and peri-Tethyan areas, and attained a maximum at the Bajocian–Bathonian transition (also recorded in the Lower Batahen Ishmael Zone of western Russia). This positive evolution is here described for the first time and its origin may be explained by the cumulative effects of paleoclimatic, oceanic, and volcanic factors. First, it is likely that important modifications in the relative contribution of old crustal vs. young volcanic sources acted as a paramount factor. Indeed, this isotopic shift occurred during phases of paroxysmal volcanic activity in the Cimmerian arcs (Kazmin et al., 1986), Forties and Egersund provinces (van Bergen and Sissingh, 2007), as well as Vardar, Málac, and Pindos oceanic sub-basins (Bonev and Stampfli,
Constant radiogenic influxes from dispersed volcanic sources could therefore explain rises in $\epsilon_{Nd}(t)$ values of Euro-Boreal, peri-Tethyan, and Russian seawaters, as well as the parallel shifts observed in the Bajocian clastic fractions of the Viking Corridor and hemipelagic basins of the NW Tethyan Ocean (Mearns, 1989; Mahlen et al., 2005) (Fig. 5A). This was likely accentuated by regional drops in the relative contribution of unradiogenic supplies from distal boreal sources, owing to cooler and drier conditions in northern Laurasia attested by $\delta^{18}O$ data (Dera et al., 2011), clay mineralogy (Brigaud et al., 2009), and multiple ice indices in the polar areas (Price, 1999; Rogov and Zakharov, 2010).

The second hypothesis invokes regional changes in oceanic circulation marked by a stronger influence of radiogenic Tethyan waters in northern domains. The origin of this modification remains obscure but it may be noted that this shift occurred in parallel with the North Sea doming event (Underhill and Partington, 1993), which likely restricted any direct southward diffusion of unradiogenic boreal waters through the Viking Corridor and promoted northward incursions of Tethyan

![Fig. 7. Schematic illustration of Nd sources and diffusion pathways during the Jurassic. Median seawater values are indicated according to the studied marine domains. Surface oceanic circulation (black arrows) is based on numerical simulations of Dera and Donnadieu (2012). Emergent landmasses, marine shelves, and main reliefs are in black, grey, and dashed yellow, respectively.](image)

![Fig. 8. Temporal correlations between seawater $\epsilon_{Nd}(t)$ signals, $\delta^{18}O$ values, $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr variations, sea-level changes, and NW Tethyan volcanic events. $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr values are from Jones et al. (1994). $\delta^{18}O$ data are a compilation of measurements from belemnites collected from NW Tethyan basins, Panthalassan terranes (Haida Gwaii), and the Russian Platform (Podlaha et al., 1998; Gröcke et al., 2007; Price and Rogov, 2009; Dera et al., 2011; Wierzbowski and Rogov, 2011; Wierzbowski et al., 2013). The timing and magnitude of volcanic events (represented by line width) are from Kazmin et al. (1986), van Bergen and Sissingh (2007), and Bergelin (2009). Sea-level changes are from Haq et al. (1988).](image)
winters. Moreover, this southern influence could be accentuated by a rapid widening and deepening of the Hispanic Corridor during the Bajocian (Labails et al., 2010). Indeed, simulations of Hotinski and Toggweiler (2003) show that the creation of a mid-latitude circum-global passage promotes wind-driven upwelling of large volumes of cold deepwater in the tropics. As a consequence, such a scenario would imply that cool seawater temperatures recorded during the Middle Jurassic in the Euro-Boreal basins could reflect regional paleoceanic influences, even if a cooling event also prevailed on a global scale (Rogov and Zakharov, 2010). On the Russian Platform, southern paleogeographical barriers likely prevented these deep current influences but the high seawater $\varepsilon^{142Nd(t)}$ values imply that: 1) in accordance with regional occurrences of Mediterranean Tethyan ammonites in this domain, strong surface Tethyan currents crossing the southern Caspian Strait efficiently diffused dissolved volcanic Nd northward; and 2) the boreal Mezen–Pechora Strait linking the Arctic Ocean to the Russian Platform was not yet fully effective to channel unradiogenic currents southwestward.

4.3.4. The Callovian–Early Oxfordian negative shift

The fourth isotopic event corresponds to a marked drop of about $-2$ $\varepsilon$-units from the Early Callovian to the Early Oxfordian, which is more pronounced in the Euro-Boreal domains but also recognized on the Russian Platform (although more oscillatory). Importantly, this episode happened during a major transgression considered to have enhanced the marine connections between the Arctic and NW Tethyan seas through a deepening of trans-Laurasian seaways. According to Cariou et al. (1985) and Wierzbowksi et al. (2013), this eustatic change led to the southward propagation of cool and deep Arctic water masses, reflected by progressive invasions of boreal ammonites and increasing $\delta^{18}O$ values in the Euro-Boreal and Russian seas (but not in other marine domains, Alberti et al., 2012). Even if the $\varepsilon^{142Nd(t)}$ signature of Arctic seawater is unknown at this time, it may be assumed that it was mainly unradiogenic owing to the prevalence of Precambrian cratons at high latitudes (i.e., Greenland, Canadian, Fenno-Scandian, and Siberian shields). Radiogenic influences from weathered Siberian traps likely remained limited to the West Siberian Basin (Dypvik and Zakharov, 2012). As a consequence, the southward diffusion of unradiogenic water masses from the polar region seems relevant because it could explain the isotopic gradient observed between northern and southern areas in the NW Tethys (Fig. 8). In addition, the influence of stronger continental inputs may be excluded because sedimentological, geochemical, paleontological, and palynological evidence suggests cooler and more arid conditions in the NW Tethys from the mid-Callovian to the late Bajocian (Abbink et al., 2001; Cecca et al., 2005; Brigaud et al., 2008). Along the Russian Platform, the decrease in Nd isotope composition of seawater is less obvious because values strongly oscillate and stay comparatively more radiogenic. The origin of these isotopic fluctuations remains unclear but they likely reflect a circulation pathway characterized by dominant southward boreal currents alternating sporadically with northward Tethyan currents diffusing volcanogenic Nd during times of restriction in the Mezen–Pechora Strait. This complexity in oceanic dynamics through the large Russian Platform coincided with rapid fluctuations in ammonite expansions (Rogov et al., 2008).

4.3.5. The mid-Oxfordian positive excursion

A sharp rise in seawater $\varepsilon^{142Nd(t)}$ values is evident in the Euro-Boreal and peri-oceanic areas during the mid-Oxfordian. As this shift does not appear in the Russian Platform, it likely represents a regional signature constrained by paleography. According to Négrel et al. (2006) and Sidorchuk et al. (2010), who measured radiogenic values (exceeding $-6$ $\varepsilon$-units) in authigenic Fe–Mn coatings and pure marine carbonates from the Paris Basin and the Pieniny Klippen Belt, this feature would likely reflect a strengthening of southern Tethyan surface currents intruding the northern domains. Indeed, several lines of evidence support this change, such as brief regional expansions of Tethyan faunas and coral reefs in Euro-Boreal domains (Cecca et al., 2005; Carpentier et al., 2006; Martin-Garin et al., 2012), the transient rises in Euro-Boreal seawater temperatures not evident at a global scale (Wierzbowksi, 2004; Brigaud et al., 2008; Alberti et al., 2012), and two brief negative $\delta^{13}C$ excursions (during the Transversarium Chron) within an overall positive trend interpreted as local clathrate destabilizations by warm bottom waters (Padden et al., 2001). By invoking mechanisms similar to those explained previously, a further widening of the Hispanic Corridor could be responsible for such oceanic events (Louis-Schmid et al., 2007; Rais et al., 2007), which in turn may have contributed to making the paleoclimate regionally warmer and more arid (Abbink et al., 2001). But more intriguing is the absence of radiogenic Tethyan incursions in the Russian Platform, whereas Middle Oxfordian invasions of Submediterranean ammonites seem to suggest the contrary (Wierzbowksi et al., 2013). In accordance with paleobiogeographical evidence, it may be supposed that successive arc accretions on the northern Tethyan margin weakened the Tethyan influence through the Caspian Strait, forcing southern faunas to migrate by western European routes. In parallel, the widening of the Mezen–Pechora Strait likely favoured the diffusion of boreal currents, giving an unradiogenic signature to West Russian seawaters until the end of the Jurassic.

4.3.6. The Late Oxfordian–Tithonian decrease

In the Late Oxfordian, the seawater Nd isotope signal decreased significantly in all studied basins (more abruptly in the Euro-Boreal seas) and remained almost always very unradiogenic until the end of the Jurassic (Fig. 8). Similar to the Toarcian event, Négrel et al. (2006) interpreted this trend as an intensification of unradiogenic detrital input from Laurasian landmasses. Through extensions of drainage areas toward boreal unradiogenic sources, this paleoclimatic explanation appears pertinent because GCM simulations and respective shifts in the $\delta^{18}O$ and Mg/Ca ratios measured on marine molluscs from Scotland and Russian basins reveal the onset of an important warming trend (Nunn et al., 2009; Wierzbowksi et al., 2013), which promoted accelerations in the hydrological cycle and shifts in humid belts. Occurrences of strong rainfall, rapid continental weathering rates, and massive nutrient supplies from boreal continental domains are indicated by positive shifts in $^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr$ and $^{187}Os/^{188}Os$ ratios (Jones et al., 1994; Cohen et al., 1999) (Fig. 8), higher kaolinite contents in sediments (Hesselbo et al., 2009), increases in clastic inputs, and massive organic matter deposits in the sub-Arctic and Boreal basins (Weissert and Mohr, 1996).

As with the Toarcian, regional changes in oceanic surface circulation cannot be ruled out to explain the low seawater $\varepsilon^{142Nd(t)}$ values recorded in the Euro-Boreal seas. Indeed, locally cool seawater temperatures (i.e., Paris Basin) (Brigaud et al., 2008; Martin-Garin et al., 2010), southward migrations of boreal ammonites, and regional retreats of coral reefs in the Late Oxfordian domains have been interpreted as a vanishing influence of warm Tethyan currents in the Euro-Boreal domains, counterbalanced by a recurrent southward diffusion of unradiogenic boreal waters through the Viking Corridor (Cecca et al., 2005; Rogov et al., 2008; Martin-Garin et al., 2012). This modification could relate to a high sea-level promoting connections between the Arctic and NW Tethyan seas (Fig. 8), as well as a canalization of southern Tethyan currents in the Hispanic Corridor as the Gondwanan landmasses drifted southwest (Fig. 1). On the Russian Platform, a progressive regional sea-level fall inferred from widespread lagoonal facies also contributed to this declining influence of Tethyan currents. By the emergence of the Caspian and Brest straits, respectively to the south and south-west of the Russian Platform (Fig. 2C), it is possible that this domain became influenced exclusively by unradiogenic boreal waters crossing the Mezen–Pechora Strait, as suggested by the lowest $\varepsilon^{142Nd(t)}$ Values and paleobiogeographical data (Rogov, 2012).
Owing to strong volcanic inputs from the Ring of Fire, the Jurassic Panthalassan waters were radiogenic and very similar to modern Pacific values (~4 ε-units). In the Tethyan area, the surface waters were less radiogenic and characterized by a negative isotopic gradient from equatorial neritic domains to Euro-Boreal areas. This disparity is interpreted as a decreasing influence of radiogenic Panthalassan currents flowing westward, counterbalanced northward by stronger contributions of unradiogenic continental sources such as the Precambrian Fenno-Scandian highs and Paleozerog ores. Comparatively, the Russian Platform was more subject to radiogenic fluxes from juvenile Cimmerian arcs.

The εNd(t) values of NW Tethyan waters fluctuated significantly through time, in response to regional changes in paleogeography, continental drainage, oceanic circulation, paleoecology, and volcanism, which could ultimately be linked to the Pangean dislocation.

Positive seawater εNd(t) shifts occurred on the Euro-Boreal and peri-Tethyan platforms in the middle of the Plenianbachian (Event 1) and during the mid-Oxfordian (Event 5). These features could represent incursions of warm surface radiogenic currents from southern Tethys, which could have regionally promoted a warmer climate.

From the Aalenian to the Bathonian, a protracted positive shift in εNd(t) is emphasized in parallel with cooler seawater temperatures (Event 3). This seems to be linked to increased radiogenic supplies from active Cimmerian, Euro-Boreal, and eastern Tethyan volcanoes and/or a stronger influence of Tethyan upwelling events favored both by the rapid widening of the Hispanic Corridor and a restriction of boreal currents during the North Sea doming.

Rapid drops in εNd(t) values are recorded in parallel with the regional seawater cooling and marine transgression observed during the Callovian–Early Oxfordian interval (Event 4). In agreement with geochemical and paleontological evidence, we suggest that influxes of very unradiogenic and cold boreal waters flowing southward through the Viking Corridor and the Mezen–Pechora Strait could be responsible for this negative isotopic trend.

Two protracted negative εNd(t) trends prevailed in the boreal basins during the warm conditions of the Toarcian (Event 2) and Late Oxfordian–Tithonian interval (Event 6). These geochemical disturbances seem related to a combination of climatic and oceanic effects, including: 1) northward shifts in humid belts extending the drainage areas toward new boreal sources supplying very unradiogenic crustal-derived inputs to seawater; and 2) efficient southward marine currents diffusing Arctic unradiogenic waters during periods of high sea levels.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2014.02.006.
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